UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

IN RE: . Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)

•

•

Chapter 11

Jointly Administered

CIRCUIT CITY STORES,

INC., et al.,

701 East Broad Street

Richmond, VA 23219

.

Debtors.

May 30, 2013

2:03 p.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING
BEFORE HONORABLE KEVIN R. HUENNEKENS
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Tavenner & Beran, PLC

By: LYNN S. TAVENNER, ESQ.

20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor

Richmond, VA 23219

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. 268 Evergreen Avenue Hamilton, New Jersey 08619 E-mail: jjcourt@jjcourt.com

(609) 586-2311 Fax No. (609) 587-3599

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

11

12

14

16

19 l

20 l

21

22

23

COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise. The court is now in session. Please be seated and come to order.

COURT CLERK: Circuit City Stores, Incorporated, Items 1 and 2 on proposed agenda.

MS. TAVENNER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Ms. Tavenner.

MS. TAVENNER: For the record, Lynn Tavenner of the law firm of Tavenner & Beran appearing today on behalf of the trust. Also with me at counsel table is Mr. Jeffrey McDonald who is one of the trust's staff whom you've met on several occasions. Ms. Bradshaw is en route back from an oversight committee meeting and hasn't quite made her way back in this 13 area yet.

THE COURT: I'm sure he'll be a very, very capable 15 | fill-in.

MS. TAVENNER: Oh, yes, he is, Your Honor. The first matter that's on the docket is a motion to file documents under seal. Similar to motions to file documents under seal that we've filed previously in this case, this one you'll see, Your Honor, relates directly to the defendant Sharp and a resolution that's been reached with Sharp in conjunction with the LCD and related litigation.

Your Honor, we have provided for the exact same type of procedure for interested parties who are not otherwise a party to that litigation to obtain additional information with

regard to the specifics of the Sharp settlement by filing the 2 commitment of a qualified person and to send it to Mr. Caine. That process has worked very well in the past and we have 4 received inquiries in the past. And at this point, all the parties are aware of the procedure and it is working seamlessly.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

So, to that end, Your Honor, we would request that Your Honor approve the same type of procedure with respect to the Sharp matter because we would like to get a motion on file seeking your approval substantively of the Sharp settlement.

THE COURT: Most certainly. Does any party wish to be heard in connection with the motion to file documents under seal?

(No audible response)

THE COURT: All right. That motion will be granted.

MS. TAVENNER: Thank you, Your Honor. The second matter that's on the docket today is a motion to strike. relates to a letter -- a pleading, if you will, that was filed in this case not so long ago by a pro se person. His name is Mr. de Wolf. And we had viewed that as being a claim and, pursuant to Your Honor's prior expungement order, requested that the pleading be stricken from the docket.

Subsequently, after serving Mr. de Wolf with that pleading, he has filed a response and has raised some issues that the trust would like to review and address with him. As a 1 result, Your Honor, we would respectfully request that the 2 matter be adjourned until June 25th at two. I will represent to the Court that I personally spoke with Mr. de Wolf 4 yesterday, told him that I had received his pleading, that it 5 is now on file with the court, and that we would be asking you 6 to continue this until that June date and that we would be back in touch with him to discuss the substance.

THE COURT: All right. And where does he reside? MS. TAVENNER: I believe it's California, Your Honor. 10 I have his address here.

THE COURT: All right. But would you make -- also 12∥ make sure that he understands that if he wants to participate by telephone conference call for that hearing, if for some 14 reason it's not resolved, that that would be an option for him.

MS. TAVENNER: Yes, Your Honor, I most certainly will. That's one of the things that he did ask about and we understand and appreciate that request.

THE COURT: Okay, thank you.

MS. TAVENNER: And I do have his address. 20 California.

> THE COURT: Okay.

3

7

8

9

11

15

18

19

21

22

24

MS. TAVENNER: So we will note that to him. that, Your Honor, that concludes the matters that are on the I did have one additional item that I would like to briefly address, if Your Honor is so inclined.

THE COURT: You may.

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

MS. TAVENNER: This relates, Your Honor, to an objection that was filed by the trust to several claims that were filed by the Louisiana Department of Revenue.

We previously have submitted to Your Honor on May $6 \parallel 23$ rd a stipulated order that resolves the matter. And the order has not been entered yet. I was concerned that there might be some issues with it, in that it's not been our normal procedure to submit stipulated orders and we tried very hard to convince the Louisiana Department of Revenue that it wasn't necessary. There has been a separate settlement agreement that's been signed amongst the parties. Mr. McDonald is the one that has been integrally involved in --

> THE COURT: So it's his fault.

> MS. TAVENNER: It's his fault.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. TAVENNER: -- in all of these tax claims and has gotten a remarkable result for the trust with respect to this one. But we're really getting hounded now because the stipulation hasn't been entered. And if there is a specific issue that Your Honor would like for me to address, we're happy to do the same.

This is an order that was drafted by the Louisiana --I'm having difficulty saying that -- Department of Revenue and we suspect there's some type of reason within their government

1 that they need to have this type of order entered.

2

6

7

8

11

15

2.0

21

22

23

THE COURT: All right. I wish I could tell you that 3 I know of some reason, but I don't off-hand. I'll have to go 4 and look at that and see. I'm not aware of a problem that I 5 have with --

MS. TAVENNER: From your perspective, it hasn't been rejected.

THE COURT: It has not been rejected. If I have a 9 problem with the order, I will immediately -- I'll look at it 10 this afternoon and make a point of looking at it this afternoon. But the -- if there is some problem, I'll get back to your office and let your office know and if there's a 13 problem, we can address it on some other expedited basis to get it resolved. But I'm not aware of a problem.

MS. TAVENNER: Well, I appreciate that, Your Honor. I did just want to bring it to your attention. When we sent it to the court electronically, we did note that it resolves -- or relates to the Docket Number 10064. And we did have some 19 difficulty.

I'm not sure if Your Honor is aware of this but apparently there is a new procedure in the clerk's office that when you BOP orders, you can only name them with the case number itself. So when we first submitted this on May 23rd, we had the case number. And then my office procedure is always to then put dash and then put the number of the order so that we

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19 l

20

21

22

23

24

25

can track it. This one was actually 100 for this particular 2 matter. And it got rejected back. And now we can only put the case number which is going to be extremely problematic for the 4 bar. So we have put this on the list of items for the liaison 5 committee to hopefully be able to address with the clerk.

THE COURT: I wasn't aware of that change. When was that done?

MS. TAVENNER: We don't know, Your Honor. We know that we submitted orders a week prior to that that went through just fine. But this one was -- it's just an automatic message that comes back. It's not somebody in the clerk's office making a subjective decision, it doesn't appear.

THE COURT: Okay. And what it says -- the message that you're receiving is that you're only able to input the case number. You're not able to input an additional number.

MS. TAVENNER: Correct. I'm happy to hand up for Your Honor's review --

THE COURT: If you would. I'll look into this, as I just not familiar with it. Obviously, I don't BOPS orders to myself.

(Laughter)

MS. TAVENNER: I understand, Your Honor. The person on there, David Leadbeater, is a paralegal in my office.

THE COURT: Oh, I thank you for that information.

MS. TAVENNER: But that is the only additional item

* * * * *

9

CERTIFICATION

I, STEPHANIE SCHMITTER, court approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, and to the best of my ability.

/s/ Stephanie Schmitter

STEPHANIE SCHMITTER

J&J COURT TRANSCRIBERS, INC. DATE: June 10, 2013

WWW.JJCOURT.COM